

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,290	02/07/2006	Thor Las Holtet	08-349-WO-US	6045	
20306 MCDONNEL	7590 05/01/200 L BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKER DRIVE			MERTZ, PREMA MARIA		
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, II		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		1646			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/533,290	HOLTET ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Prema M. Mertz	1646		

	Prema M. Mertz	1646					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.					
 \(\text{\tin}\text{\texit{\text{\texitext{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texitex{\texi}\text{\texititt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texiti}}}\text{	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing da 							
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this As no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriate	extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration take of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 It proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett _ appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.18-23.30 and 35.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	/Prema Mertz/ Primary Examiner						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 1 recites*....a first portion of each monomer specifically binds a trimeric cytokine and a second portion of each monomer.....* which is new matter in the claim and precipitates a new 35 USC 112, first paragraph, new matter rejection because there is no basis for this limitation in the specification.

Furthermore, the rejection of claims 1, 18-23, 30, and 35 under the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, is maintained because Applicants have not shown that the specification contains a written description sufficient to show they had possession of the full scope of their claimed invention at the time the application was filed.

The rejection of claims 1, 18-23, 30, and 35 under the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, is maintained because Applicants have failed to disclose a correlation between the protion of the monomer that brinds a trimeric cytokine and the structure responsible for binding to the cytokine such that one of skill in the art would have known what constructs encoding trimeric polypeptides could be made of the very large number possible and encommosased by the scope of claim 1 without closing function.

The provisional rejection of claims 1, 18-20, and 30 for obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatientable over claims 56-86 of U.S. Application No. 11/452,434 (the '434 application'). Applicants argue that because the obviousness-type double patenting rejection is provisional, Applicants elect to address this ground of rejection by submitting a Terminal Disclaimer or by argument upon notification that this rejection has been made non-provisional, all other conditions for patentability have been met, and the instant claims are otherwise in condition for allowance. However, the claims will never be allowable until a terminal disclaimer over the co-pending application is filled